Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| 2A.02 Page 42  Line 44 | N/A | YES | N/A | Section 2A.02 (and the MUTCD) has been edited to describe low volume roads as low volume “rural” roads.  Line 41-43 mentions differing traffic conditions for rural and urban and the potential for separate recommendations. However, low volume “urban” roads are not defined or mentioned in the document. Consider defining low volume urban roads and revising this section to indicate this version of the MUTCD primarily provides guidance only for low volume rural roads. |
| 2A.05  Page 45  Line 37 | N/A | YES | N/A | Consider applying guidance generally to “signs” in lieu of “diamond-shaped” signs, as there are other shaped signs this guidance could be applicable to. |
| 2A.05  Page 45  Line 43 | N/A | YES | N/A | Consider using the term “dual signing” instead of “duplicate sign” for consistency as the former is used later in the section. |
| 2A.05  Page 45  Line 49 | N/A | YES | N/A | Suggest adding limits to this guidance in terms of maximum height. If a sign is mounted laterally at a max vehicle height, it should be verified that the sign will remain in all drivers cone of vision. |
| 2A.19  Page 56  Line 29 | N/A | YES | N/A | Agree with language. Suggest adding this to Definitions section in Chapter 1. |
| 2A.20  Page 56  Line 50 | N/A | YES | N/A | Consider modifying language to include low-light or adverse weather conditions where retroreflection and illumination would also be applicable. |
| 2A.20  Page 57  Line 44 | N/A | YES | N/A | Sequentially flashing chevrons are used in practice. The standard language appears to exclude these devices. If appropriate, consider revising standard to guidance to consider sequentially flashing traffic control devices. |
| 2B.09  Page 68  Line 23 | N/A | YES | N/A | Consider adding approach grade to the list of factors. |
| 2B.77  Page 115  Line 20 | N/A | YES | N/A | Suggest adding language back to the section regarding the 2’ lateral offset for roadside safety concerns. |
| 2C.05  Page 122  Line 53 | N/A | YES | N/A | Suggest adding the tangent distance between a series of two or more curves to this list as an additional factor. |
| 2C.08  Page 124  Line 33 | N/A | YES | N/A | As a previous comment indicated, sequentially flashing chevrons are used in practice. Consider revising language to guidance to  consider sequentially flashing traffic control devices.  Delete Line 33. |
| 2E.23  Page 213  Line 7 | N/A | YES | N/A | Last Exit Before Toll recommended to be above guide sign panel. It should also be permitted to be installed below. |
| 2E.53  Page 235  Line 43 | N/A | YES | N/A | Suggest revising line to include the D8-2 sign since the “1/2 mile” may be substituted for “next right” as per Figure 2E.61. |
| 2E.56  Page 238  Line 6 | N/A | YES | N/A | Suggest revising text to say, “examples include but are not limited to…” since trucks can be restricted due to other factors such as weight or terrain. |
| 2F.13  Page 250  Line 1 | N/A | YES | N/A | “Signing for entrances to toll highways where ETC is employed ~~only~~ through license plate character recognition such that road users are not required to establish a toll account or register their vehicle equipment shall comply with the provisions of Paragraphs 4 and 5”  Toll agencies and toll collectors do not have any facilities where electronic collection is ONLY through license plate read. License plate read is in conjunction with transponders or registered accounts. The word ONLY should be removed from this standard. IBTTA is unaware of any system that ONLY uses license plate reads as the method of toll collection. |
| 2F.18  Page 253  Line 10 | N/A | YES | N/A | Advance and Exit Direction guide signs for ~~the~~ entrances to ~~such~~ ETC-Only facilities that do not require the registration in an ETC toll account program shall not ~~display a pictograph of an accepted~~  ~~ETC payment system or~~ use purple as a background color on any portion of the signs.  Remove “display a pictograph of an accepted  ETC payment system or” |
| 2F.18  Page 253  Line 12 | N/A | YES | N/A | “If the ETC-Only facility ~~collects tolls by~~ utilizes post-travel billing of registered vehicle owners without”  Remove “collects tolls by” and add “utilizes” |
| 2F.18  Page 253  Lines 15-16 | N/A | YES | N/A | A. TOLL BILLED BY MAIL ONLY, if there is no alternative payment method; or  B. TOLL BILLED BY MAIL OR [ETC Account Pictograph],if the facility also accepts payments  from registered users of an ETC account program.  Guidance:  The “TOLL BILLED BY MAIL” language shown is an example only. The language adopted by the toll agencies’ rule making process should be used. |
| 2G.22  Page 277  Line 37 | N/A | YES | N/A | Consider revising text to be consistent with Figure 2G-32 on which the sign is on the right and optional on the left. |
| 2M.08  Page 327  Line 10 | N/A | YES | N/A | Suggest adding back the option language regarding RS-076. It seems applicable and is also shown on Figure 2M-2. |
| Figure 2B-17 | NA | NA | NA | Consider adding a note to the figure that indicates a stop bar is removed if utilizing Yield Sign. |
| Figure 2D-14 | NA | NA | NA | Consider adding sign symbols to show the correct location for the “U and Left Turns” signs. |
| Figure 2G-32 | NA | NA | NA | Text indicates W3-9 should be located on the side of the ramp from which shoulder traffic approaches. The figure shows the sign on the right with optional sign on the left. Suggest adding a note that the optional sign is based upon which side the shoulder traffic approaches and include consistent text in Chapter 2G. |
| 9A.01  Page 739  Line 24 | N/A | NO | N/A | The revision applied to the previous version creates the opposite meaning. Verify this is correct. |
| 9B.18  Page 749  Line 19 | N/A | YES | N/A | The “Bicycle Turn Must Use Turn Box” signs should be used in the intersection (near and far side). Suggest revising text accordingly. |
| 9D.08  Page 761  Line 24 | N/A | YES | N/A | Paragraph 2 of this Section indicates that Bicycle Route Sign assemblies should be installed independently from the numbered highway route signs. Suggest verifying for consistency. |
| Figure 9D-1 | NA | NA | NA | Consider adding D11-10 series signing to this figure. |
| Figure 9D-7 | NA | NA | NA | The text in Section 9D-13 indicates that the far side guide sign is not optional. Suggest revising for consistency. |
| Figure 9E-17 | NA | NA | NA | Suggest adding the shared lane marking back to the figure. It is unclear why it was removed from previous version. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |